







I never really considered myself a conceptual artist because I cannot separate conception from perception. I use ideas as tools, not as found objects. What interests me is the way in which ideas break down. I try to locate that edge where an idea slips out of focus.

When I was using numbers and words it wasn't numbers or words themselves that I was interested in, but how things worked on their own. I found that they worked very well. Without my interference, the tool could invent its own job.

In the <u>Measurement</u> pieces, it is not the actual measurement I'm interested in, but the issue of scale. Scale is created by comparative relationships. When I measure a room, it objectifies the emptiness of the space. The measurements project a mental construct of the space onto the space itself. Scale is eliminated because nothing is transformed. There are no relationships. Everything remains actual size.

After the <u>Measurement</u> pieces my work became more theoretical. The word theory denotes knowledge as opposed to practice. My intention was to excavate the structure that underlies a system of thought, rather than being a painting or a sculpture per se, the <u>Theories</u> question the assumptions behind the visual modes of representation.

In questioning those modes I found my way into painting as a language. Working within the language liberates rather than restricts invention. Painting, like a coral reef, is a complex, living organism where innovation takes place on the outer edge, through growth and change.

Mel Bochner March 1993

KRAKOW GALLERY